



Phil Luck (TA)

162

Section 0A

FALL 2010

Enrollment 73
% responding 67%

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Neutral</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	N	% Agree	% Disagree	\bar{x}
1. The TA presents material in a clear and organized manner.	20	19	5	3	2	49	80%	10%	
2. The TA speaks audibly and communicates effectively.	28	12	3	4	1	48	83%	10%	
3. The TA stimulates discussion in sections.	21	18	9	1	1	50	78%	4%	
4. The TA is responsive to questions.	33	12	2	1	0	48	94%	2%	
5. The TA is punctual for sections and office hours.	32	12	2	0	0	46	96%	0%	
6. The TA is available and helpful to students during office hours.	26	9	4	0	0	39	90%	0%	
7. The TA is responsive to difficulties students have in understanding the	29	12	7	1	0	49	84%	2%	
8. The grading was fair and timely.	23	17	5	1	2	48	83%	6%	
9. This discussion section was a good educational experience.	25	16	6	0	2	49	84%	4%	4.3
10. Overall, the TA did a good job in this course.	26	16	5	2	0	49	86%	4%	4.3
11.									
12.									
13.									
14.									
15.									
16.									
17.									
18.									
19.									
20.									

I attended both discussions and it
seemed phil was not as prepared
as Lisa

Fantastic TA, helpful in
OH and section.

And he's cute.

Please organize your thoughts before
speaking. Dont jump around the boards.
Very confusing!!

good job



[Faint, illegible text line]

[Faint, illegible text block]

[Faint, illegible text line]



Phil was such a great, knowledgeable, and efficient TA. He answered every question thoroughly and made all the material comprehensive. His office hours helped me a lot to grasp the material before each test and I appreciated his help.

The grading on H/W seemed a little harsh, especially considered its supposed to just be a supplement

-good TA, lecture was confusing & he often cleared up info

Responsive to emails and knowledgeable on the subject matter. With review sessions were conducted before the midterms.

He renewed my personal statement.

He ~~was~~ is a great TA.



There were times we didn't get assignments back until after the examination. The extra study material would have been nice. Overall, did OK but I started going to the other TA's section before exams.


The thing about Phil is that while he is knowledgeable in Econ, he isn't the best instructor around, and he doesn't clearly explain concepts students may be struggling with. I wouldn't take him as a TA again, only because I need clear concise explanations on certain parts of the material, and he doesn't give that.

DIDN'T RESPOND TO 2 OF MY EMAILS and I ended up
TALKING TO THE OTHER T.A.

HOWEVER, OVERALL, HE IS VERY ORGANIZED + A GREAT HELP
w/ UNDERSTANDING MY MATERIAL

The TA was awesome & helpful with difficult questions. I just hope he'd prepare us more for midterms and final.

Good summary overview of material covered during lecture



Phil was very helpful with homework problems and helped me understand how to solve similar problems better.

Section was fine, but I
wish he was more prepared

Phil had a hard time projecting his voice in the small room. Even though I attended every section, he didn't help much with clarification. He always said "I'll go over that." very frustrating. A nice guy but not good at helping with info.

- Best TA I've had, sections are actually helpful.

I believe Mr. Luck did a standard job and met the requirements of the job.

In general, you did a good job in discussion.
My only comment is that at times your
explanations of somethings could be very
confusing.

Good job!

Sometimes he would not go over certain material
and review would have been helpful.